

SANDEEP SETH (SBN 195914)  
ss@sethlaw.com  
SETH LAW OFFICES  
Two Allen Center  
1200 Smith Street, Suite 1600  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Telephone No.: (713) 244-5017  
Facsimile No.: (713) 244-5018

ROBERT J. YORIO (SBN 93178)  
yorio@carrferrell.com  
STACEY M. TAM (SBN 292982)  
stam@carrferrell.com  
CARR & FERRELL LLP  
120 Constitution Drive  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone No.: (650) 812-3400  
Facsimile No.: (650) 812-3444

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant  
SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZOHO CORPORATION,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 4:19-cv-00001-YGR

**DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIMANT  
SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST PURSUANT  
TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 7-11 TO EXCEED  
PAGE LIMITATIONS GOVERNING BOTH  
PARTIES' CLAIM CONSTRUCTION REPLY  
BRIEFS**

SENTIUS INTERNATIONAL, LLC,  
  
Counterclaimant,  
  
v.  
  
ZOHO CORPORATION and ZOHO  
CORPORATION PVT., LTD.  
  
Counter-Defendants.

DATE: March 18, 2020  
TIME: 9:30 a.m.  
COURTROOM: 1  
JUDGE: Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Defendant and Counterclaimant Sentius International, LLC (“Sentius”) respectfully submits this request that the Court grant it leave to exceed the page limits specified in Local Rule 7-4(b) for the Claim Construction Reply Brief that Sentius will be filing on February 21, 2020.

There are ten (10) terms proposed for construction covering two (2) patents as set forth in the parties’ Joint Claim Construction Chart attached as Appendix B to the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement filed on January 6, 2020 (Dkt. 49-1). The Reply Brief will address all ten (10) terms across both patents and the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence applicable to each term.

This technical analysis will be accompanied by a discussion of the application of a number of patent law principles to the construction of the claim term. The combination of Sentius’ factual analysis and legal argument will cause its Reply Brief to exceed the 15 page limit as set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-4(b). Given the complexity of the technical issues, Sentius respectfully requests the Court to expand the page limitation on its Reply Brief to 19 pages. Sentius has raised this issue with counsel for Zoho. Zoho does not oppose Sentius’ request to exceed the page limitation requirement and Sentius agrees to a reciprocal increase in the page limitation for Zoho’s Brief from 15 to 19 pages. See accompanying Stipulation and (Proposed) Order submitted in support of this Motion for Administrative Relief.

Dated: February 20, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

CARR & FERRELL LLP

By /s/ Robert J. Yorio  
ROBERT J. YORIO

SETH LAW OFFICES

By /s/ Sandeep Seth  
SANDEEP SETH  
Attorneys for Defendant and  
Counterclaimant SENTIUS  
INTERNATIONAL, LLC

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on February 20, 2020 to all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Civ. L.R. 5-1(h)(1). Any other counsel of record will be served by U.S. Mail or hand delivery.

/s/ Robert J. Yorio  
ROBERT J. YORIO